

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,416	COSTAIN, PAUL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ghassem Alie	3724	

All Participants:

(1) Ghassem Alie.

(2) Kevin T. Shaughnessy (51,014).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 December 2006

Time: 6:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Pettigrew (2002/0010031 A1)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 1 and prior art were discussed. An agreement was reached to amend claim 1 to read over the cue stick sharpener disclosed in Pettigrew (2002/0010031 A1). See attached examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)